## SOLICITOR

♠ AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

TO:

OCT 2 2 2007

,	Mail Stop 8 U.S S. Patent and Trademark Of P.O. Box 1450 ndria, VA 22313-1450	S. PATEN fice	T & TRADEMARK OFFICE REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court on the following Patents or Trademarks:			
DOCKET NO 07-CV-5001 (FLW)	DATE FILED 7/2007	U.S. DI	STRICT COURT TRENTON, NJ
PLAINTIFF			DEFENDANT
SEPRACOR INC. UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS		DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 7,214,683		SEE	ATTACHED COMPLAINT
2 7,214,684			
3			
4			
. 5			
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:  DATE INCLUDED  INCLUDED BY  Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading			
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	ament 	☐ Answer ☐ Cross Bill ☐ Other Pleading  HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF TATENT OR TRADEMARK
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:  DECISION/JUDGEMENT			
William J. Walsh JOE MAMMUNI Ellingh 10/18/2007			
Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director			

Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

From: Bowman, Ben (Raytheon)

Sent: 12/13/2005 11:42:55 AM

To: Bowman, Ben (Raytheon)

CC:

Subject: testing

here is a test

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Attachment Information:

Count: 1

Files: TTAB2ScreenShots.doc

Page 4 of 10

- 13. On May 8, 2007, the '684 patent, entitled "Methods for the Treatment of Allergic Rhinitis," was duly and legally issued. Sepracor and UMass are assignees of the entire right, title and interest in the '684 patent. A copy of the '684 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 14. The '683 and '684 patents are identified in the FDA publication entitled "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations" in association with extended release tablets containing desloratadine and pseudoephedrine sulfate, which are sold as a commercial product under the trade name Clarinex<sup>®</sup>, and those patents cover an approved use of commercial Clarinex®.

## Acts Giving Rise to this Action

- 15. Plaintiff Sepracor received a letter from DRL, dated September 4, 2007 ("the Notification Letter"), notifying them that Defendants had filed with the FDA an ANDA (No. 79-027) under § 505(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. § 355(j)) to obtain FDA approval to engage in the commercial manufacture, importation, use, offer for sale or sale of extended release tablets containing 2.5 mg desloratedine and 120 mg pseudoephedrine sulfate ("DRL's Proposed Products").
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendants intend to engage and will engage in the commercial manufacture, importation, use, offer for sale or sale of DRL's Proposed Products promptly upon receiving FDA approval to do so.
- The Notification Letter states that ANDA No. 79-027 contains a "Paragraph IV 17. Certification" that, in Defendants' opinion, the '683 and '684 patents are invalid.
- 18. The Notification Letter does not allege that the '683 and '684 patents are unenforceable, or that the marketing of DRL's Proposed Products will not infringe claims of the '683 or the '684 patent.